17

26

27

28

response in opposition to Defendant's above-referenced motions. Said response is based upon the files and records of the case, together with the attached Memorandum of Points and Authorities.

I.

INTRODUCTION

Defendant Pedro Cruz-Tercero has filed both motions in limine and substantive motions. The Government incorporates by reference the Statement of Facts from its previous response in opposition to Defendant's motions, filed on February 4, 2008. As addressed below, the Government also incorporates by reference its previously-filed opposition to Defendant's motions.

II.

POINTS AND AUTHORITIES

A. THE GOVERNMENT WILL NOT SEEK TO ADMIT EVIDENCE PURSUANT TO RULE 609

Defendant requests notice whether the Government intends to present evidence pursuant to Federal Rule of Evidence 609. The Government does not intend to present any evidence pursuant to either Rule 609 or $404\,(b)$.

B. EVIDENCE OF SENTENCING ENHANCEMENT NEED NOT BE PROVEN

Defendant argues that the Government must prove the fact of his prior felony conviction which may result in a sentencing enhancement. The Government responded to the argument in its opposition to Defendant's previous motion to dismiss the indictment for failure to allege essential elements. As the Government argued, Defendant's argument has been rejected in <u>United States v. Covian-Sandoval</u>, 462 F.3d 1090 (9th Cir. 2006), <u>cert. denied</u>, 127 S. Ct. 1866 (2007).

C. THE GOVERNMENT WILL PRESENT BORDER PATROL WITNESSES

Defendant asserts that the agents who encountered him, "must be brought to this Court" to see if they violated any of Defendant's Constitutional rights. Although Defendant provides insufficient

3

4

5

6 7

10

8

11

12

13

14 15

16

17

18

19 20

21

22

23 24

25

26

27

28

justification for his argument, opposition is academic because the Government intends to call as witnesses at trial Border Patrol Agents Jason Wardlow and Daniel Alvarado.

D. DEFENDANT'S STATEMENTS AND IDENTITY NEED NOT BE SUPPRESSED, AND NO HEARING IS NECESSARY

The Government incorporates by reference its previous response to Defendant's motions to suppress statements, filed on February 4, 2008 (Document 13). On that date, this court instructed the Government to provide a copy of Defendant's video statement. Government will comply with the court's instruction. The Government will also translate and transcribe the advisal and waiver, and will provide copies of the same to the court and Defendant in advance of the motion hearing.

DEFENDANT VOLUNTARILY WAIVED HIS FIFTH AMENDMENT RIGHTS Ε.

As stated above, the Government incorporates by reference its previous response in opposition to Defendant's motion to suppress statements.

III.

CONCLUSION

Based on the foregoing, the Court should deny Defendant's motions.

DATED: March 5, 2008

Respectfully submitted,

KAREN P. HEWITT United States Attorney

/ Christopher P. Tenorio CHRISTOPHER P. TENORIO Assistant U.S. Attorney